

READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS

READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS IS A FUNDAMENTAL ASPECT OF LEGAL PRACTICE AND SCHOLARSHIP, FOCUSING ON THE METHODS AND PRINCIPLES APPLIED TO UNDERSTAND STATUTES, CONTRACTS, AND OTHER LEGAL DOCUMENTS. THIS DISCIPLINE ENSURES THAT LAWS ARE APPLIED CONSISTENTLY AND PREDICTABLY, REFLECTING LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND THE RULE OF LAW. THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS INVOLVES VARIOUS TECHNIQUES, INCLUDING TEXTUAL ANALYSIS, CONTEXTUAL EXAMINATION, AND CONSIDERATION OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY. UNDERSTANDING THESE METHODS HELPS LEGAL PROFESSIONALS, JUDGES, AND SCHOLARS NAVIGATE COMPLEX LEGAL LANGUAGE AND RESOLVE AMBIGUITIES. THIS ARTICLE DELVES INTO THE CORE PRINCIPLES OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION, EXPLORES KEY CANONS AND APPROACHES, AND DISCUSSES CHALLENGES INHERENT IN READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS. THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS PROVIDE A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW OF THE TOPIC.

- PRINCIPLES OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION
- CANONS OF CONSTRUCTION IN LEGAL TEXTS
- METHODS OF INTERPRETING STATUTORY LAW
- ROLE OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND CONTEXT
- CHALLENGES IN READING AND APPLYING LEGAL TEXTS

PRINCIPLES OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION

THE PRINCIPLES OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION FORM THE FOUNDATION FOR READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS. THESE PRINCIPLES GUIDE LEGAL PROFESSIONALS IN DISCERNING THE MEANING AND APPLICATION OF STATUTES, CONTRACTS, AND REGULATIONS. A PRIMARY PRINCIPLE IS THE PLAIN MEANING RULE, WHICH ASSERTS THAT THE LANGUAGE OF THE LEGAL TEXT SHOULD BE UNDERSTOOD ACCORDING TO ITS ORDINARY MEANING UNLESS OTHERWISE DEFINED. ANOTHER ESSENTIAL PRINCIPLE IS THE PRESUMPTION AGAINST SURPLUSAGE, WHICH DISCOURAGES INTERPRETATIONS THAT RENDER ANY WORD OR PHRASE MEANINGLESS. ADDITIONALLY, THE PRINCIPLE OF HARMONY SEEKS TO INTERPRET TEXTS IN A MANNER THAT GIVES EFFECT TO ALL PROVISIONS CONSISTENTLY.

PLAIN MEANING RULE

THE PLAIN MEANING RULE EMPHASIZES THE USE OF THE ORDINARY, EVERYDAY MEANING OF WORDS WITHIN LEGAL TEXTS. WHEN THE LANGUAGE IS CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS, COURTS AND PRACTITIONERS APPLY THE TEXT AS WRITTEN WITHOUT DELVING INTO EXTERNAL EVIDENCE. THIS PRINCIPLE PROMOTES PREDICTABILITY AND RESPECTS LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY BY ADHERING TO THE TEXT'S NATURAL LANGUAGE.

PRESUMPTION AGAINST SURPLUSAGE

THIS PRINCIPLE ENSURES THAT EVERY WORD IN A LEGAL TEXT HAS A PURPOSE. INTERPRETERS AVOID CONSTRUCTIONS THAT RENDER ANY TERM REDUNDANT OR MEANINGLESS, THEREBY PRESERVING THE TEXT'S INTEGRITY AND THE LEGISLATURE'S PRESUMED INTENT TO INCLUDE EACH WORD INTENTIONALLY.

HARMONIOUS CONSTRUCTION

WHEN A LEGAL TEXT CONTAINS MULTIPLE PROVISIONS THAT MIGHT APPEAR CONFLICTING, THE PRINCIPLE OF HARMONIOUS CONSTRUCTION AIMS TO RECONCILE THESE PROVISIONS. THIS APPROACH ATTEMPTS TO INTERPRET THE TEXT SO THAT ALL

PARTS WORK TOGETHER EFFECTIVELY, AVOIDING CONTRADICTIONS AND ENSURING COHERENCE.

CANONS OF CONSTRUCTION IN LEGAL TEXTS

CANONS OF CONSTRUCTION ARE ESTABLISHED INTERPRETIVE RULES THAT ASSIST IN READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS. THESE CANONS PROVIDE STRUCTURED APPROACHES TO RESOLVING AMBIGUITY AND CLARIFYING LEGISLATIVE INTENT. THEY ARE WIDELY RECOGNIZED IN JUDICIAL DECISIONS AND LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP AS ESSENTIAL TOOLS FOR TEXTUAL ANALYSIS.

EXPRESSIO UNIUS EST EXCLUSIO ALTERIUS

THIS CANON MEANS “THE EXPRESSION OF ONE THING IS THE EXCLUSION OF ANOTHER.” IT IMPLIES THAT IF A LEGAL TEXT EXPLICITLY MENTIONS CERTAIN ITEMS, OTHERS NOT MENTIONED ARE PRESUMED EXCLUDED. THIS APPROACH HELPS LIMIT THE SCOPE OF STATUTES OR CONTRACTS WHERE THE TEXT SPECIFIES CERTAIN ELEMENTS.

NOSCITUR A SOCIIS

THE CANON NOSCITUR A SOCIIS, MEANING “A WORD IS KNOWN BY THE COMPANY IT KEEPS,” ADVISES INTERPRETING AMBIGUOUS WORDS IN CONTEXT WITH SURROUNDING WORDS. THIS CONTEXT-SENSITIVE APPROACH HELPS CLARIFY MEANING BY CONSIDERING ASSOCIATED TERMS AND PHRASES.

EJUSDEMODI GENERALIS

EJUSDEMODI GENERALIS, OR “OF THE SAME KIND,” APPLIES WHEN GENERAL WORDS FOLLOW SPECIFIC WORDS IN A LIST. THE GENERAL WORDS ARE INTERPRETED TO INCLUDE ONLY ITEMS OF THE SAME TYPE AS THE SPECIFIC ONES, NARROWING THE SCOPE OF THE TEXT.

OTHER COMMON CANONS

- **IN PARI MATERIA:** STATUTES ON THE SAME SUBJECT SHOULD BE INTERPRETED CONSISTENTLY.
- **RULE AGAINST ABSURDITY:** INTERPRETATIONS THAT LEAD TO ABSURD RESULTS SHOULD BE AVOIDED.
- **UT RES MAGIS VALEAT QUAM PEREAT:** THE LAW SHOULD BE INTERPRETED TO GIVE EFFECT RATHER THAN NULLIFY ITS PROVISIONS.

METHODS OF INTERPRETING STATUTORY LAW

INTERPRETING STATUTES IS A CENTRAL COMPONENT OF READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS. SEVERAL METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES GUIDE LEGAL INTERPRETERS IN UNDERSTANDING LEGISLATIVE ENACTMENTS, BALANCING TEXTUAL FIDELITY WITH PRACTICAL APPLICATION.

TEXTUALISM

TEXTUALISM FOCUSES EXCLUSIVELY ON THE STATUTORY TEXT AS THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF MEANING. TEXTUALISTS PRIORITIZE

THE STATUTE'S LANGUAGE AND GENERALLY AVOID CONSIDERING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OR PURPOSE. THIS METHOD AIMS FOR OBJECTIVITY AND LIMITS JUDICIAL DISCRETION IN INTERPRETATION.

PURPOSIVISM

PURPOSIVISM LOOKS BEYOND THE TEXT TO THE STATUTE'S BROADER PURPOSE AND LEGISLATIVE INTENT. THIS APPROACH CONSIDERS THE PROBLEM THE LEGISLATURE SOUGHT TO ADDRESS AND INTERPRETS THE TEXT IN A WAY THAT FURTHERS THAT OBJECTIVE, OFTEN INCORPORATING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND SOCIAL CONTEXT.

PRAGMATISM

PRAGMATISM EVALUATES THE PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES OF DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS. INTERPRETERS USING THIS METHOD ASSESS WHICH READING BEST ALIGNS WITH POLICY GOALS AND SOCIETAL INTERESTS, SOMETIMES BALANCING COMPETING VALUES FOR EQUITABLE OUTCOMES.

DYNAMIC INTERPRETATION

THIS APPROACH RECOGNIZES THAT STATUTES MAY REQUIRE EVOLVING INTERPRETATIONS TO REMAIN RELEVANT OVER TIME. DYNAMIC INTERPRETATION ALLOWS FOR FLEXIBILITY IN APPLYING LEGAL TEXTS TO CONTEMPORARY CIRCUMSTANCES, ADAPTING TO CHANGES IN SOCIETY AND TECHNOLOGY.

ROLE OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND CONTEXT

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND CONTEXTUAL MATERIALS OFTEN PLAY A CRUCIAL ROLE IN READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS, ESPECIALLY WHEN STATUTORY LANGUAGE IS AMBIGUOUS OR UNCLEAR. UNDERSTANDING THE BACKGROUND AND INTENT BEHIND ENACTMENTS ENRICHES TEXTUAL ANALYSIS AND SUPPORTS INFORMED LEGAL DECISION-MAKING.

SOURCES OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY INCLUDES COMMITTEE REPORTS, FLOOR DEBATES, SPONSOR STATEMENTS, AND EARLIER DRAFTS OF THE STATUTE. THESE MATERIALS PROVIDE INSIGHT INTO THE LEGISLATURE'S PURPOSE AND THE CONSIDERATIONS UNDERLYING THE LEGAL TEXT.

CONTEXTUAL INTERPRETATION

CONTEXTUAL INTERPRETATION EXAMINES THE STATUTE WITHIN THE BROADER LEGAL FRAMEWORK, INCLUDING RELATED STATUTES, CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS, AND REGULATORY SCHEMES. THIS HOLISTIC VIEW ENSURES THAT THE INTERPRETATION ALIGNS WITH THE OVERALL LEGAL SYSTEM AND AVOIDS CONFLICTS.

CONTROVERSIES SURROUNDING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

WHILE LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CAN CLARIFY INTENT, ITS USE IS SOMETIMES CONTROVERSIAL. CRITICS ARGUE THAT RELIANCE ON SUCH MATERIALS CAN INVITE JUDICIAL SUBJECTIVITY OR UNDERMINE THE PRIMACY OF THE STATUTORY TEXT. NEVERTHELESS, MANY COURTS EMPLOY LEGISLATIVE HISTORY JUDICIOUSLY TO RESOLVE GENUINE AMBIGUITIES.

CHALLENGES IN READING AND APPLYING LEGAL TEXTS

READING LAW THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS PRESENTS NUMEROUS CHALLENGES STEMMING FROM LINGUISTIC COMPLEXITY, AMBIGUITY, AND EVOLVING LEGAL STANDARDS. EFFECTIVE INTERPRETATION REQUIRES BALANCING TEXTUAL FIDELITY WITH PRACTICAL AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS.

AMBIGUITY AND VAGUENESS

LEGAL TEXTS OFTEN CONTAIN AMBIGUOUS OR VAGUE LANGUAGE THAT CAN LEAD TO MULTIPLE PLAUSIBLE INTERPRETATIONS. RESOLVING AMBIGUITY DEMANDS CAREFUL APPLICATION OF INTERPRETIVE PRINCIPLES AND SOMETIMES JUDICIAL DISCRETION TO DETERMINE THE MOST REASONABLE MEANING.

COMPLEXITY OF LEGAL LANGUAGE

THE SPECIALIZED VOCABULARY AND INTRICATE SENTENCE STRUCTURES COMMON IN LEGAL DRAFTING CAN COMPLICATE INTERPRETATION. UNDERSTANDING STATUTORY GRAMMAR, DEFINITIONS, AND CROSS-REFERENCES IS ESSENTIAL TO AVOID MISREADING LEGAL PROVISIONS.

CHANGING SOCIAL AND LEGAL CONTEXTS

LEGAL TEXTS MAY BECOME OUTDATED AS SOCIETAL VALUES AND TECHNOLOGIES EVOLVE. INTERPRETERS MUST CONSIDER WHETHER AND HOW TO ADAPT INTERPRETATIONS TO CONTEMPORARY CIRCUMSTANCES WHILE RESPECTING LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY.

BALANCING COMPETING INTERPRETIVE APPROACHES

DIFFERENT INTERPRETIVE METHODS MAY YIELD CONFLICTING RESULTS. COURTS AND LEGAL PROFESSIONALS MUST BALANCE TEXTUALISM, PURPOSIVISM, AND OTHER APPROACHES TO ARRIVE AT INTERPRETATIONS THAT UPHOLD THE RULE OF LAW AND PROMOTE JUSTICE.

KEY STRATEGIES FOR OVERCOMING CHALLENGES

- APPLYING ESTABLISHED CANONS OF CONSTRUCTION CONSISTENTLY
- CONSULTING AUTHORITATIVE DEFINITIONS AND LEGAL DICTIONARIES
- ANALYZING LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CAUTIOUSLY WHEN APPROPRIATE
- CONSIDERING THE STATUTE'S PURPOSE AND BROADER LEGAL CONTEXT
- ENGAGING IN COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH RELATED LAWS AND PRECEDENTS

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHAT IS THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF READING LAW IN THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS?

THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF READING LAW IS TO PROVIDE A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO INTERPRETING LEGAL TEXTS BY USING ESTABLISHED PRINCIPLES AND RULES TO DISCERN THE MEANING AND INTENT BEHIND LEGAL LANGUAGE.

HOW DOES THE DOCTRINE OF EJUSDEM GENERIS APPLY IN LEGAL INTERPRETATION?

THE DOCTRINE OF EJUSDEM GENERIS LIMITS THE MEANING OF GENERAL WORDS FOLLOWING SPECIFIC WORDS TO THE SAME KIND OR CATEGORY AS THE SPECIFIC WORDS, HELPING TO CLARIFY AMBIGUOUS LEGAL PROVISIONS.

WHAT ROLE DOES THE PRINCIPLE OF 'EXPRESSIO UNIUS EST EXCLUSIO ALTERIUS' PLAY IN READING LEGAL TEXTS?

THIS PRINCIPLE MEANS 'THE EXPRESSION OF ONE THING IS THE EXCLUSION OF ANOTHER,' INDICATING THAT IF A LAW EXPLICITLY MENTIONS CERTAIN ITEMS, OTHER ITEMS NOT MENTIONED ARE PRESUMED EXCLUDED.

WHY IS CONTEXT IMPORTANT WHEN INTERPRETING LEGAL DOCUMENTS?

CONTEXT IS CRUCIAL BECAUSE IT HELPS INTERPRETERS UNDERSTAND THE PURPOSE, BACKGROUND, AND CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING A LEGAL TEXT, ENSURING THAT THE INTERPRETATION ALIGNS WITH LEGISLATIVE INTENT AND PRACTICAL APPLICATION.

WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LITERAL AND PURPOSIVE INTERPRETATION IN READING LAW?

LITERAL INTERPRETATION FOCUSES ON THE ORDINARY MEANING OF THE WORDS USED, WHILE PURPOSIVE INTERPRETATION LOOKS BEYOND THE TEXT TO UNDERSTAND THE LAW'S INTENDED PURPOSE AND BROADER OBJECTIVES.

HOW DOES THE RULE AGAINST ABSURDITY INFLUENCE LEGAL INTERPRETATION?

THE RULE AGAINST ABSURDITY PREVENTS COURTS FROM INTERPRETING LEGAL TEXTS IN A WAY THAT LEADS TO UNREASONABLE OR ILLOGICAL OUTCOMES, ENSURING THAT THE INTERPRETATION ALIGNS WITH COMMON SENSE AND LEGISLATIVE INTENT.

CAN THE USE OF LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AID IN INTERPRETING LEGAL TEXTS?

YES, LEGISLATIVE HISTORY CAN PROVIDE INSIGHTS INTO THE LAWMAKERS' INTENTIONS, DEBATES, AND PURPOSES BEHIND A STATUTE, WHICH CAN BE VALUABLE IN RESOLVING AMBIGUITIES OR UNCERTAINTIES IN THE TEXT.

WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PRINCIPLE OF STARE DECISIS IN INTERPRETING LEGAL TEXTS?

STARE DECISIS, OR THE PRINCIPLE OF ADHERING TO PRECEDENT, ENSURES CONSISTENCY AND PREDICTABILITY IN LEGAL INTERPRETATION BY OBLIGING COURTS TO FOLLOW ESTABLISHED JUDICIAL DECISIONS WHEN INTERPRETING LEGAL TEXTS.

HOW DO COURTS HANDLE AMBIGUITIES IN STATUTES DURING INTERPRETATION?

COURTS OFTEN RESOLVE AMBIGUITIES BY APPLYING ESTABLISHED INTERPRETATIVE CANONS, CONSIDERING LEGISLATIVE INTENT, CONTEXT, PURPOSE, AND SOMETIMES RESORTING TO EXTERNAL AIDS LIKE LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OR PRECEDENTS TO CLARIFY THE MEANING.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. *READING LAW: THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS* BY ANTONIN SCALIA AND BRYAN A. GARNER

THIS BOOK OFFERS A COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE TO UNDERSTANDING HOW LEGAL TEXTS SHOULD BE INTERPRETED. WRITTEN BY A SUPREME COURT JUSTICE AND A LEGAL WRITING EXPERT, IT EMPHASIZES TEXTUALISM AND ORIGINALISM AS KEY METHODS. THE AUTHORS PROVIDE PRACTICAL TOOLS AND PRINCIPLES FOR JUDGES, LAWYERS, AND SCHOLARS TO APPLY IN STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION.

2. *STATUTORY INTERPRETATION* BY WILLIAM N. ESKRIDGE JR., PHILIP P. FRICKEY, AND ELIZABETH GARRETT

A FOUNDATIONAL TEXT IN THE STUDY OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION, THIS BOOK EXAMINES THE PRINCIPLES AND THEORIES BEHIND INTERPRETING STATUTES. IT BLENDS THEORETICAL DISCUSSIONS WITH PRACTICAL CASE EXAMPLES, HIGHLIGHTING VARIOUS INTERPRETIVE TOOLS SUCH AS LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND CANONS OF CONSTRUCTION. THE AUTHORS ALSO EXPLORE MODERN DEVELOPMENTS AND DEBATES IN STATUTORY INTERPRETATION.

3. *LEGAL REASONING AND LEGAL WRITING: STRUCTURE, STRATEGY, AND STYLE* BY RICHARD K. NEUMANN JR.

FOCUSED ON THE SKILLS NEEDED FOR EFFECTIVE LEGAL ANALYSIS, THIS BOOK GUIDES READERS THROUGH THE PROCESS OF READING, INTERPRETING, AND WRITING ABOUT LEGAL TEXTS. IT EMPHASIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF CLEAR REASONING AND STRUCTURED ARGUMENTATION IN LEGAL CONTEXTS. THE TEXT IS USEFUL FOR LAW STUDENTS AND PRACTITIONERS AIMING TO IMPROVE THEIR INTERPRETIVE AND WRITING ABILITIES.

4. *INTERPRETING STATUTES: A COMPARATIVE STUDY* BY RUTH SULLIVAN

THIS BOOK PROVIDES A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION METHODS USED IN DIFFERENT LEGAL SYSTEMS. IT DISCUSSES COMMON PRINCIPLES AS WELL AS JURISDICTION-SPECIFIC APPROACHES, SHEDDING LIGHT ON THE DIVERSITY AND CONVERGENCE IN LEGAL INTERPRETATION GLOBALLY. THE AUTHOR'S INSIGHTS ARE VALUABLE FOR UNDERSTANDING HOW CULTURAL AND LEGAL TRADITIONS INFLUENCE TEXTUAL ANALYSIS.

5. *THE RULE OF LAW* BY TOM BINGHAM

WHILE NOT SOLELY FOCUSED ON TEXTUAL INTERPRETATION, THIS INFLUENTIAL BOOK EXPLORES THE FOUNDATIONAL CONCEPT OF THE RULE OF LAW, WHICH UNDERPINS LEGAL READING AND INTERPRETATION. BINGHAM DISCUSSES HOW LAWS MUST BE CLEAR, ACCESSIBLE, AND APPLIED FAIRLY, WHICH DIRECTLY IMPACTS HOW TEXTS ARE UNDERSTOOD. THE BOOK PROVIDES ESSENTIAL CONTEXT FOR APPRECIATING THE IMPORTANCE OF PRECISE LEGAL INTERPRETATION.

6. *CANONS OF CONSTRUCTION* BY WILLIAM N. ESKRIDGE JR. AND PHILIP P. FRICKEY

THIS WORK DELVES INTO THE TRADITIONAL AND CONTEMPORARY CANONS USED BY COURTS TO INTERPRET LEGAL TEXTS. IT EXPLAINS HOW THESE INTERPRETIVE RULES GUIDE JUDGES IN RESOLVING AMBIGUITIES AND CONFLICTS WITHIN STATUTES AND CONSTITUTIONS. THE BOOK COMBINES DOCTRINAL ANALYSIS WITH PRACTICAL EXAMPLES TO ILLUSTRATE THE APPLICATION OF CANONS IN REAL CASES.

7. *TEXTUALISM AND THE DEAD HAND* BY WILLIAM BAUDE

BAUDE EXPLORES THE THEORY OF TEXTUALISM, WHICH PRIORITIZES THE TEXT OF LEGAL DOCUMENTS AS THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF MEANING. HE DISCUSSES THE PHILOSOPHICAL AND PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THIS APPROACH, INCLUDING THE TENSION BETWEEN ADHERING TO ORIGINAL TEXT AND ADAPTING TO CONTEMPORARY CONTEXTS. THE BOOK CONTRIBUTES TO ONGOING DEBATES ABOUT THE BEST METHODS FOR INTERPRETING LAW.

8. *LAW'S EMPIRE* BY RONALD DWORKIN

A SEMINAL WORK IN LEGAL PHILOSOPHY, THIS BOOK ARGUES FOR A MORAL READING OF LEGAL TEXTS, WHERE INTERPRETATION INVOLVES PRINCIPLES OF JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS ALONGSIDE THE WORDS THEMSELVES. DWORKIN CRITIQUES PURELY TEXTUALIST AND POSITIVIST APPROACHES, PROPOSING INSTEAD THAT LEGAL INTERPRETATION REQUIRES A COHERENT AND PRINCIPLED NARRATIVE. THE BOOK IS ESSENTIAL FOR UNDERSTANDING THE BROADER THEORETICAL LANDSCAPE OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION.

9. *PRINCIPLES OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION* BY FRIEDRICH K. JUENGER

THIS CLASSIC TEXT OUTLINES FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES GUIDING THE INTERPRETATION OF LEGAL TEXTS, INCLUDING STATUTES AND CONTRACTS. JUENGER EMPHASIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF CONTEXT, PURPOSE, AND THE INTENTION BEHIND LEGAL PROVISIONS. THE BOOK REMAINS A VALUABLE RESOURCE FOR STUDENTS AND PRACTITIONERS SEEKING A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO READING LAW.

Reading Law The Interpretation Of Legal Texts

Reading Law The Interpretation Of Legal Texts

Related Articles

- [restaurant server training manual](#)
- [relentless from good to great unstoppable tim grover](#)
- [return of the primitive the anti industrial revolution](#)

[Back to Home](#)